Skewed sex ratios, conditional cash transfers and promotion of girl children: The Indian experience*

T.V. Sekher

International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Mumbai E-mail: tvsekher@gmail.com

*This study was commissioned by the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) - India at the request of the Planning Commission, Govt. of India. I am thankful to Dr. Syeda Hameed, Ms. Vandana Jena, Dr. N.K. Sethi, Ms. Firoza Mehrotra (Planning Commission), Ms. Sangeeta Verma (MWCD), Ms. Ena Singh, Ms. Dhanashri Brahme, Dr. K.M. Sathyanarayana and Dr. Sanjay Kumar (UNFPA), State level programme officials and NGOs for useful discussions and suggestions.

Skewed sex ratios, conditional cash transfers and promotion of girl children: The Indian experience

T.V. Sekher

Introduction of the Conditional Cash Transfer schemes (CCTs) is a marked departure from the traditional approaches in social programming. Through provision of financial incentives to poor families following the fulfillment of certain verifiable conditions, CCTs seek to provide shortterm income support and at the same time promote long-term behavioural changes. CCTs therefore have the potential to become an effective means of channelizing the limited resources to the poor and socially disadvantaged sections; more specifically to girls and women. With persisting gender inequalities in India, the girl child is at a disadvantage and faces discrimination at every stage of her life - sex selection, infanticide, little or no access to education, lack of health care and nutrition, child marriage, and teenage pregnancy. The conditionality linked cash transfer attempts to correct such discriminations. These programmes represent a shift in government's approach of focusing on the supply-side to a demand driven approach. Experiences from various countries illustrate that the conditional cash transfer programmes were successful in increasing enrolment in school, improving immunization of children, and raising household consumption levels. This is true for poor and low income countries as illustrated from the experiences of Brazil, Columbia, Mexico and Nicaragua (IFPRI, 2002; Briere and Rawlings, 2006; Son, 2008; Fajth and Vinay, 2010). Poor mothers received financial incentives conditional to their promoting certain activities on behalf of their children.

In the Indian context, the adverse influence of negative social attitudes towards girls has left many girl children vulnerable and disadvantaged. Their survival, education, health care, development, security and well being are a matter of national concern. A significant impact of this discrimination is reflected in the deterioration of the male-female ratio, particularly among children. The 1991 Census of India indicated the worsening trend in child sex ratio (CSR) and the 2001 Census revealed that the situation was alarming in some states. The dwindling number of girl children on account of increasing incidences of female foeticide is a matter of great concern nowadays (Sekher and Hatti, 2010). Even after legislations such as the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques Act of 1994, popularly known as the PNDT Act, and many campaigns to promote the value of the girl child, the scenario has not improved and in fact further deteriorated as evident from the 2011 Census. Programs and policies clearly state that it is necessary to empower girl children in all aspects of life so that they become equal partners with boys and enjoy freedom and equal opportunity. It is also realized that special measures are required to protect the survival and security of the girl child from conception to birth, in infancy, and throughout the period of her childhood. It is a fact that in many families, poverty is the major constraint that prevents raising and educating girl children. Given the limited financial resources available to these families, they would prefer a son to a daughter. With this in mind and against the background of the deteriorating condition of girls in India as reflected in the worsening malefemale ratio, the Government of India and many state governments have introduced innovative schemes of conditional cash and non-cash transfers. By providing a set of staggered financial incentives to encourage the families to retain the girl child and to educate her, the ultimate objective of these schemes is to change the attitude and mindset of parents towards the girl child (Govt. of India, 2007). In most traditional Indian families, girls are considered a liability and a burden. These schemes reiterate that by providing cash inflow to the girl's family, the parents should feel that the very existence of the girl is an asset to the family. The conditional cash transfer is subject to the completion of certain requirements such as birth registration, institutional delivery, childhood immunization, school enrolment, completing school education, delaying marriage till 18 years. This would result in improvements in the value of the girl child, more specifically measured through improved sex ratio at birth (SRB) and CSR, increased school enrolment and attendance in primary, middle and high schools, and enhanced age at marriage.

This study was undertaken to examine the extent to which financial incentive schemes have contributed towards enhancing the value of daughters within a family. These incentive based schemes aim at improving the value of the girl child on the premise that financial benefits would trigger behavioural changes among parents and communities. In the long run such initiatives hope to ensure the survival and well-being of girls. However, with very limited or no documentation / assessment in place, the first task initiated under this study was to gather

information about different incentive schemes aimed at the welfare of a girl child. Discussions with few NGOs and government officials implementing the schemes provided an overall idea about the functioning of each scheme. Interactions with few beneficiary families also helped in gaining useful insights. The findings presented here rely heavily on the interactions with officials and the analysis of the scheme related available data at the state level.

Though most of these schemes are steps in the right direction, very little is known about their implementation and effectiveness. Through a desk-review and interaction with government officials and NGOs, this study examines operational aspects of fifteen selected girl child promotion schemes across the states and gathers first impressions regarding the performance of these schemes. The schemes selected for secondary review are Dhan Laxmi Scheme of Government of India, Ladli Scheme of Delhi, Ladli Lakshmi Yojana of Madhya Pradesh, Bhagyalakshmi Scheme of Karnataka, Balri Rakshak Yojana in Punjab, Ladli Scheme of Haryana, Kanyadan scheme of Madhya Pradesh, Girl Child Protection Scheme in Andhra Pradesh, Indira Gandhi Balika Suraksha Yojna in Himachal Pradesh, Mukhya Mantri Kanya Vivah Yojana of Bihar, Rajalakshmi scheme in Rajasthan (discontinued), Balika Samrudhi Yojana in Gujarat and Himachal Pradesh, Kunwarbainu Mameru scheme in Gujarat, Beti Hai Anmol Scheme in Himachal Pradesh (initiated in 2010) and Mukhya Mantri Kanya Suraksha Yojana of Bihar. The number of beneficiaries enrolled during the last three years under each of these schemes is presented in Table 1. Ladli lakshmi yojana (MP), Bhagyalakshmi scheme (Karnataka) and Mukhya Mantri Kanya Suraksha Yojana (Bihar) have more than four lakh girls registered within a span of three years, is also indicative of huge popularity of some of these schemes.

Intent and Objectives

The schemes that were reviewed had varying objectives and pre-conditions, upon fulfillment of which, benefits were provided. In general, all schemes attempted to enhance the value of the girl child in terms of her being considered as an asset to the family. It intended to empower girls and ensure their survival, through cash transfers that are linked with fulfilling certain conditions such as birth registration, immunization and education of the girl child. However, a review of the objectives of the different schemes also reveals multiplicity of outcomes expected from the scheme, leading to a somewhat diffused focus in achieving the original objective behind the

provision of incentives – change in the perceived value of daughters in the eyes of the family. For example, some schemes provide the incentive only if the couple accepts sterilization after two children, others limit the incentive only to two girls, with a larger incentive for the first girl as compared to the second. Dhan Lakshmi is the only scheme that provides incentive to all girls born in the family. Clearly, the intention behind some of the schemes is also to ensure smaller families and promote family planning alongside ensuring the birth of girls.

Eligibility

Table 2 provides the eligibility criteria to avail benefits at different stages under each scheme. Apart from fulfilling the eligibility criteria, the beneficiary families need to produce a set of documents to register for each scheme (Table 3). This varies from birth certificate to income certificate to proof of undergoing sterilization by any one of the parents. Most of these schemes are specifically aimed at people belonging to the poor families (BPL households). There are a few schemes that are open to all categories of households irrespective of their education, income levels and caste. An analysis of the child sex ratio data from the 2001 census has revealed that ratios are lower amongst the educated and affluent, though rural areas are not far behind. Given this, it is critical to revisit the target groups under these incentive schemes based on the perception of the value of the incentive by different income groups. Even for not so affluent households, the more immediate perceived benefit from not having a daughter may appear more tangible than the final benefit which will accrue after their daughter turns 18. Only through a systematic evaluation can it be established if the incentives help to address both pre- and postbirth discrimination against girls. In other words, it is not clear yet whether these incentives ensure that girls survive once born and receive better care and attention or the benefits also ensure their birth itself. Again, by limiting the benefit to two girls or by providing a larger incentive for the first girl, the scheme inadvertently ends up valuing girls differentially depending on their position in the birth order. The eligibility criteria therefore potentially may lead to mixed perceptions about the intent of the scheme.

Views of the implementing officials

Involvement of the state governments

It is interesting to note that most state governments took pride in implementing the scheme and publicizing it as one of their biggest achievements. Many of the schemes are closely associated with Chief Ministers and sometimes even closely monitored by them. The Ladli Lakshmi Yojana in Madhya Pradesh, Ladli Scheme of Delhi and Bhagyalakshmi Scheme of Karnataka are a few examples. The state governments are ready to invest huge amounts for implementing these schemes. For example, Madhya Pradesh government spent Rs. 250 Crores in 2007-08 for the Ladli Lakshmi Yojana. For the Ladli Scheme of Delhi, Rs. 87 Crores were spent in 2009-10. Government of Haryana spent Rs.52 Crores for the Ladli Scheme in 2009-10 (Table 4). Karnataka government has earmarked Rs. 358 Cr. exclusively for Bhagyalakshmi scheme for the year 2010-11. Chief Ministers made public announcements that money will not be a constraint in expanding these 'popular' schemes. Some governments are also seriously considering enhancing the cash incentives to make the schemes more attractive. Hence, proper negotiations with financial agencies for ensuring the promised terminal benefits is important, as prior experience of Rajalakshmi scheme being discontinued in Rajasthan is well-known. Though the scheme was attractive and many clients were hoping to reap benefits, Rajalakshmi scheme was discontinued in 2000 due to the perceived loss by Unit Trust of India (UTI). The premature closure of the scheme disheartened the beneficiaries and people lost interest and faith in similar schemes (Sharma et.al, 2003). Before terminating the scheme, the state government should have considered alternatives such as investing in mutual funds, increasing the deposit amount, reducing the total maturity amount for tie-up with banks or other financial institutions.

The conditional cash transfer is subject to the completion of certain requirements such as birth registration, institutional delivery, childhood immunization, school enrolment, completing school education, delaying marriage till 18 years and parents accepting sterilization after a maximum of 2 children. In most of the schemes, the terminal benefits can only be availed when the girl completes 18 years of age and remains unmarried (Table 5). Discussions with the implementing officials provided very useful insights into the functioning of the schemes. Most of the schemes are administered by state governments through the Department of Women and Child Development. Two schemes under review are sponsored by the Department of Health and Family Welfare in Punjab and Himachal Pradesh. *Dhan Lakshmi* is the only scheme which is fully supported by the Government of India and is implemented as a pilot in eleven backward

blocks from seven states. The *Balika Samridhi Yojana* (BSY) was originally initiated by the Government of India in 1997 but since 2006 it was taken over by the state governments. It was also observed that in some cases the state governments changed the name of the scheme and implemented it under a new name with additional financial incentives. The *Balika Samridhi Yojana* in Himachal Pradesh was discontinued in July 2010 and the state government launched a new scheme called "*Beti Hai Anmol*" with similar objectives and benefits as that of BSY. In many states such as Orissa, BSY has been discontinued due to lack of funds.

Coordination between departments

It is important to mention here that most of these schemes are implemented through the vast network of *Anganwadis* and the ICDS machinery. Successful implementation of the scheme also requires support and co-operation from other departments such as education, health, *Panchayats*, etc. The officials responsible for implementing the schemes spoke about not receiving the necessary support from other government departments, resulting in delays and difficulties. For example, if the birth certificate is not received on time, it delays the financial incentive to be received by the family following the birth of a girl. Sometimes there are problems with financial agencies like banks and LIC, including delays in opening zero balance accounts. Lack of coordination between departments and financial institutions has led to delays in issuing bonds/certificates in some states. In some instances the infrastructure bottlenecks at the level of banks, post offices and insurance companies also contributed to the unnecessary delay in providing financial assistance.

Implementation, Allocation and Disbursements

According to the information available, very little money is spent on the administrative cost for implementing the schemes. Most state governments utilized the existing infrastructure and manpower (mainly ICDS or Health workers). In most of these schemes, the involvement of local *Panchayats*, NGOs, and women's groups was said to be limited. The scheme has been largely implemented as a programme of the given department. However, in some cases the local *Panchayat* leaders and NGOs have helped in popularizing the schemes and identifying the beneficiaries. State governments have also helped in the publicity of the schemes by distributing certificates/bonds in mass public gatherings at times, in the presence of the Chief Minister. While

fund allocation for schemes has been substantive in the initial years, proper monitoring mechanisms are almost absent and schemes lack procedures for addressing grievances of beneficiaries in most states. Progress has been reviewed through routine department level meetings. Some of these schemes underwent modifications with regard to the eligibility criteria, required documentation and the amount of incentives provided. Officials feel that procedures and eligibility conditions need to be further simplified to make the schemes more citizen-friendly and accessible. However, systematic monitoring remains an urgent need to allow proper implementation of schemes, gain a better understanding of bottlenecks and to obtain a sense of the overall impact. So far no review or evaluation has been conducted to examine whether the financial incentives provided through the schemes have had an impact on the parental attitude and behaviour towards girl children.

Views of the beneficiaries and civil society

The discussions with beneficiaries revealed that there were considerable delays in registration under some of the schemes as well as in the distribution of certificates. Some beneficiaries stated that they had to pay money to the local functionaries to get registered under the scheme. Though, in a few cases, the officials admitted that those who were not eligible received benefits under the scheme, pointing to corrupt practices that prevent the benefits from reaching the intended target group. Though random verification is carried out by the district level officials to examine the authenticity and eligibility of applicants, it is possible for some to misuse the scheme in connivance with local officials. For example, it was found that some of the beneficiaries of Bhagyalakshmi scheme are not from BPL households and the parent of the girl child has not undergone sterilization as required (Government of Karnataka, 2010).

Nonetheless, one advantage of CCTs is that the money directly reaches the households and beneficiaries, at least minimizing the possible leakages in the process. Some beneficiaries felt that the financial incentives provided through the schemes have helped in providing education for their girls. Some of them even stated that girls are perceived as a lesser liability since the government is meeting the cost of their education and marriage. This could perhaps mean that the families appreciated the role of the state in helping to offset the liabilities involved in educating and marrying girls as opposed to the incentives being seen as a way of recognizing the

value of their daughters. The non-governmental organizations and women's groups felt that state governments are not able to utilize their services for better implementation. Few NGOs also believe that some of these schemes need to be better targeted with focused attention to specific groups. For example, under the *Ladli* Scheme of Haryana, the cash incentives are provided to all irrespective of caste or income criteria. In a way this helps to reach out to all socio-economic groups as the data does show a decline in child sex ratio across the state. However, financial incentives are likely to be of greater value to poorer households than affluent ones. Also given the quantum of benefit, the scheme needs to take this aspect into consideration while determining the eligibility criteria. Some NGOs also felt that providing gifts in kind to the girl at the time of her marriage may send out a wrong message to the community which may perhaps perceive it as an implicit involvement of the state in covering marriage related financial transactions.

Usefulness of the incentive schemes

On the whole, both the government officials and the beneficiaries did recognize some positive aspects of the incentive driven schemes. Clearly, direct financial support for girls with the funds being transferred to the bank account in the name of the girl child was seen as a useful mechanism. Further, cash transfer was possibly proving as a motivational factor for at least poor families to invest in the education of their daughters, wherein the tussle is always about prioritization of limited resources for the son. Majority have reflected on the need to simplify the schemes to further enhance its usefulness and thereby expand its reach too. For example, schemes such as *Dhan Lakshmi* and *Bhagyalakshmi* can be simplified for operational purposes by cutting down on the number of conditions attached at various levels of immunization and school attendance, as with every conditionality the beneficiaries have to meet the corresponding documentation and certification formalities and provide the proof of fulfillment (see Table 6 for Dhanlakshmi Scheme). Likewise, domicile certificate is mandatory for many schemes and poor migrant families are likely to be excluded from the schemes. Inflexibility in the timing of joining the scheme is also a major deterrent for availing benefits among the illiterate families. Barring, Ladli Scheme of Delhi, most other schemes insists on registration of girl child within a year of birth.

A common complaint from the beneficiaries across the states is the difficulty to obtain various documents required to register such as birth certificate, income certificate, immunization

certificate, school attendance certificate and sterilization certificate. At times, officials and some NGOs also mentioned about money being paid by the beneficiaries to hasten the documentation. There is a need to minimize the number of cash transactions per beneficiary. An option of clubbing together some of the conditions and enhancing the incentive provided at that stage could be considered. The emergence of *Panchayati Raj* Institutions (PRIs) at the local level provides an opportunity of implementing these schemes through local bodies. They are in a better position to identify the beneficiaries, monitor the progress of implementation and ensure the transfer of funds to the rightful beneficiaries. Though some of the schemes are presently involving PRIs and NGOs to a limited extent, there is a need to formulate clear guidelines for their direct involvement and active participation.

Plugging the Implementation Gaps

The schemes are introduced with a specific set of objectives in order to have a desired impact. In many instances, it is too early to say whether the desired impact is visible or not. This desk review of the select schemes has its own limitations. However, based on the available information and feedback from the officials, certain drawbacks in programme implementation were identified and suggestions to improve/rectify the problems were enlisted (see Table 7). These observations will be useful in plugging the operational gaps, so that the benefits from the existing schemes manage to reach the intended beneficiaries. However, a thorough assessment of the effectiveness of the scheme and its impact can only be ascertained through a detailed evaluation. Each of these schemes requires independent review and evaluation by taking into consideration the views of beneficiaries, local NGOs, *Panchayats* and functionaries. This will help in identifying the problems in programme implementation; such as the need to simplify the eligibility conditions, the required number of documents, ways and means of involving NGOs, women's groups, *Panchayats*, and optimum utilization of funds.

| Name of the Scheme | Num | ber of Benefici | aries |
|---|----------|-----------------|----------|
| | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
| Dhan Lakshmi Scheme (Govt. of India) | | 79,555 | 42,077 |
| Bhagyalakshmi Scheme (Karnataka) | 1,23,789 | 2,97,764 | 1,44,749 |
| Ladli Lakshmi Scheme (Madhya Pradesh) | 2,14,134 | 2,09,848 | 40,854 |
| Girl Child Protection Scheme (Andhra Pradesh) | 96,487 | 72,046 | 70,302 |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | | 1,35,645 | 1,40,006 |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana (Gujarat) | 26,031 | 30,263 | 1,32,684 |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana (HP) | 7,955 | 13,031 | 17,038 |
| Ladli Scheme (Haryana) | 49,558 | 72,624 | 1,05,113 |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana (Punjab) | 62 | 53 | 62 |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Suraksha Yojana (Bihar) | | 4,75,220* | |
| Kunwarbainu Mameru Scheme (Gujarat) | 8,762 | 6,775 | 7,628 |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojana (MP) | 32,621 | 43,297 | 19,579 |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Vivah Yojana (Bihar) | | 1,57,256* | 1 |
| Indira Gandhi Balika Suraksha Yojana (HP) | 152 | 318 | 233 |

 Table: 1

 Girl Child Schemes: Number of Beneficiaries

Note: *Total number of beneficiaries since inception.

Source: Compiled by the author based on state government documents and discussions with officials.

Table: 2Girl Child Schemes: Eligibility Conditions to Avail Benefits

| Name of the Scheme | Registration of birth | Immun ization | Family planning (steriliza tion) | Angan wadi enrolm ent | School enrolme nt | Completi on of Standar d 10 | 18 years of age and unmarried |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Dhan Lakshmi Scheme | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | ✓ (8 th standard) | ~ |
| Bhagyalakshmi Scheme (Karnataka) | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ✓ (8 th standard) | ✓ |
| Ladli Lakshmi Scheme (MP) | ~ | | | ~ | \checkmark | ~ | ✓ (after 21 yrs) |
| Girl Child Protection Scheme (AP) | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ✓ | \checkmark (12 th standard) | ✓ (after 20 yrs) |
| Ladli Scheme (Haryana) | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | \checkmark |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana | ✓ | | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | ✓ | | | | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ |
| Indira Gandhi Balika Suraksha Yojana | | | ~ | | | | |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojana | ✓ | | | | | | \checkmark |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana (Punjab) | ~ | | ~ | | | | |
| Beti Hai Anmol Scheme | ✓ | | | | | | \checkmark |
| Rajalakshmi Scheme (Discontinued) | ✓ | | ✓ | | | | |

Source: Compiled by the author based on documents of various schemes of state governments

 Table: 3

 Girl Child Schemes: Documents Required

| Name of the Scheme | Birth | Domicile | Income | Sterilization | Immunization | Marriage |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | certificate | certificate | certificate | certificate | certificate | certificate |
| Dhan Lakshmi Scheme | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | |
| Bhagyalakshmi Scheme | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | 1 | |
| (Karnataka) | • | ¥ | • | • | • | |
| Ladli Lakshmi Scheme | \checkmark | \checkmark | | ✓ | | |
| (MP) | • | • | | (withdrawn) | | |
| Girl Child Protection | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Scheme (AP) | | • | · | | · | |
| Ladli Scheme (Haryana) | ~ | \checkmark | | | ✓ | |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana | ~ | | ✓ | | | |
| Indira Gandhi Balika | | \checkmark | | ✓ | | |
| Suraksha Yojana | | | | | | |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | ✓ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | |
| Mukhya Mantri | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | | | \checkmark |
| Kanyadan Yojana (MP) | - | - | - | | | • |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana | ~ | \checkmark | ✓ | \checkmark | | |
| (Punjab) | | - | - | | | |
| Beti Hai Anmol Scheme | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | |
| (HP) | | | | | | |
| Rajalakshmi Scheme | \checkmark | | | ✓ | | |
| (Discontinued) | | | | | | |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya | \checkmark | \checkmark | ✓ | | | |
| Suraksha Yojana | | | | | | |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya | | \checkmark | ✓ | | | \checkmark |
| Vivah Yojana | | | | | | - |
| Kunwarbainu Mameru | | | ✓ | | | \checkmark |
| Scheme | | | | | | |

Source: Compiled by the author based on documents of various schemes of state governments.

Table: 4Girl Child Schemes: Annual Budget and Expenditure (2007-2011)

| Name of the scheme | 200 | 07-08 | 20 | 08-09 | 200 | 09-10 | 2010-11 |
|--|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Budget | Expendi ture | Budget | Expendit ure | Budget | Expendit ure | Budget |
| Dhan Lakshmi Scheme (Govt. of India) | | | 10 Cr. | 5.95 Cr. | 10 Cr. | 5 Cr. | |
| Bhagyalakshmi Scheme (Karnataka) | 150 Cr. | 132.43 Cr. | 266.65 Cr. | 316.65 Cr. | 229.89 Cr. | 229.64 Cr. | 358 Cr. |
| Ladli Lakshmi Scheme (MP) | 276 Cr. | 250 Cr. | 135 Cr. | 100 Cr. | 24 Cr. | 26 Cr. | 302 Cr. |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | | | 81.30 Cr. | 86.44 Cr. | 83.17 Cr. | 86.97 Cr. | |
| Ladli Scheme (Haryana) | 21 Cr. | 25.1 Cr. | 29 Cr. | 29.61 Cr. | 34 Cr. | 52 Cr. | 38.65 Cr. |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana (Gujarat) | 50 lakh | 51.4 lakh | 1 Cr. | 2.38 Cr. | 10 Cr. | 1.36 Cr. | |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana (HP) | 40 lakh | 60.88 lakh | 75 lakh | 59.01 lakh | 80 lakh | 80.92 lakh | |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana (Punjab) | 1 Cr. | 9.48 lakh | 50 lakh | 24.26 lakh | 60 lakh | 12.13 lakh | |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Suraksha Yojana (Bihar) | | | 28 Cr. | 27.44 Cr. | 67 Cr. | 65.66 Cr. | 42 Cr. |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Vivah Yojana (Bihar) | 10 Cr. | 10 Cr. | 40 Cr. | 39 Cr. | 80 Cr. | 79 Cr. | 60 Cr. |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojana (MP) | 19.20 Cr. | 19.57 Cr. | 26.18 Cr. | 25.98 Cr. | 25 Cr. | 14.88 Cr. | |
| Indira Gandhi Balika Suraksha Yojana (HP) | 95 lakh | 93 lakh | 91.10 lakh | 33 lakh | 95.50 lakh | 33 lakh | |
| | | | | | | | |

Source: Compiled by the author based on documents of various state governments.

Table: 5Girl Child Schemes: Year of Initiation, Implementing Agency, Terminal Benefits and
Financial Institutions

| Name of the | Year of | Implementing Agency | Т | erminal benefit | Financial |
|--|------------|--|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Scheme | initiation | | Age | Amount | Institution |
| Dhan Lakshmi Scheme (Govt. of India) | 2008 | Dept. of Women and Child Development | 18 years | Rs.1 lakh | Nationalized Bank/Post Office |
| Bhagyalakshmi Scheme (Karnataka) | 2006 | Dept. of Women and Child Development | 18 years | Rs. 1,00,000 | LIC |
| Ladli Lakshmi Yojana (MP) | 2006 | Dept. of Women and Child Development | 21 years | Rs 1,18,300 | Post Office (NSC) |
| Girl Child Protection Scheme (New) (AP) | 2005 | Dept. of Women Development and Child Welfare | 20 years | Rs.1 lakh for one girl child and (in case of two girl children) Rs. 30,000 for each | LIC |
| Ladli Scheme (Haryana) | 2005 | Dept. of Women and Child Development | 18 years | Rs 96,000 | LIC |
| Rajalakshmi Scheme (Discontinued) | 1992 | Dept. of Medical, Health and Family Welfare | 20 years | Rs 21,000 | UTI |
| Balika Samridhi Yojana (transferred to states in 2006) | 1997 | Dept. of Women and Child Development | 18 years | Rs. 6,700 (with maximum rate of interest) | Nationalized Bank/Post Office |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | 2008 | Dept. of Women and Children Development | 18 years | Rs 1,00,000 | SBI/SBIL |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana (Punjab) | 2005 | Dept. of Health and Family Welfare | 18 years | Rs.1 lakh | Nationalized Bank/Post Office |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Suraksha Yojana | 2008 | Social Welfare Department/ State Women | 18 years | Rs. 18,000 | UTI Children's Career Plan |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanya Vivah Yojana | 2007 | Social Welfare Department | 18 years | Rs. 5,000 | Bank |
| Kunwarbainu Mameru scheme | 1995 | Social Justice and Empowerment | At marriage | Rs. 5,000 | Bank |
| Indira Gandhi Balika Suraksha Yojana | 2007 | Health and Family Welfare Department | At marriage or maturity | Rs. 25,000 to one girl child and Rs. 20,000 to both in case of two girl children | Bank |
| Mukhya Mantri Kanyadan Yojana | 2006 | Dept. of Social Justice | At marriage | Goods worth of Rs. 9000 | |

Source: Compiled by the author based on documents of various schemes of state governments.

Table 6

DHAN LAKSHMI SCHEME

A. SELECTED DISTRICTS AND BLOCKS

| | State | District | Block |
|----|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | Khammam | Aswaraopeta |
| | | Warangal | Narsampet |
| 2. | Chattisgarh | Bastar | Jagdalpur |
| | | Bijapur | Bhopalpattnam |
| 3. | Orissa | Malkangiri | Kalimela |
| | | Koraput | Semiliguda |
| 4. | Jharkhand | Giridih | Tisri |
| | | Kodarma | Markachor |
| 5. | Bihar | Jamoi | Sono |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | Rae Bareilly | Shivgarh |
| 7. | Punjab | Fatehgarh Sahib | Sirhind |

B. FINANCIAL BENEFITS

| Conditions | Amount (in Rs) |
|---|----------------|
| All girl children born after 19 November, 2008 and registered | 5,000 |
| Immunization | |
| In 6 weeks | 200 |
| In 14 weeks | 200 |
| In 9 months | 200 |
| In 16 months | 200 |
| In 24 months | 200 |
| On completion of full immunization | 250 |
| Education | |
| On enrolment to Primary School | 1,000 |
| In class 1 + attendance | 500 |
| In class 2 + attendance | 500 |
| In class 3 + attendance | 500 |
| In class 4 + attendance | 500 |
| In class 5 + attendance | 500 |
| On enrolment to Secondary School | 1,500 |
| In class 6 + attendance | 750 |
| In class 7 + attendance | 750 |
| In class 8 + attendance | 750 |
| Insurance Maturity Cover* | 100,000 |

Note: *LIC will provide lump sum of Rs. 1 lakh per girl child on completing 18 years.

Cash incentives in classes 9 to 12 will be borne by the Ministry of Human Resource Development.

Source: Ministry of Women and Child Development, Govt. of India.

| Name of the Scheme | Limitations/Drawbacks | Suggestions |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Dhan Lakshmi | Too many conditions | Minimize the conditions of cash transfer. |
| Scheme | Difficulties in opening zero balance account in post | Presently cash transfer for immunization is given at six stages. |
| (Government of | offices or banks in some areas. | This can be reduced to one stage (completion of full |
| India) | Delays in submitting project proposals from various | vaccination). |
| | state governments. | Appoint Grievance Redressal Officer. |
| | Delay in transfer of funds from Centre to States. | Introduce income criteria in order to better target the programme |
| | No operational procedure to disperse the cash | to the poor. |
| | incentives at the state level. | Issue guidelines for monitoring the program. |
| | Grievance Redressal officer has not been appointed | Involve Gram Panchayats in identifying the beneficiaries. |
| | in most places. | Issue directions to the banks and post offices for opening zero |
| | Some of the pilot blocks are naxal affected, there are | balance account. |
| | difficulties in implementing the scheme. | |
| | Delays and problems in getting birth certificates | |
| | from the concerned authorities. | |
| Bhagyalakshmi | In 25 percent of the cases, the parents of the girl | Avoid delays in issuing insurance bonds to the beneficiary. |
| Scheme (Karnataka) | children had not undergone sterilization. | The conditions for undergoing sterilization can be relaxed. |
| | Nearly 3 percent of the beneficiaries belong to the | Action may be taken against Anganwadi workers who demand |
| | above poverty line categories, which indicated | bribes for filling up the registration form. |
| | violation of stipulated norms of the scheme. | Measures may be initiated to avoid delay in issuing the LIC |
| | In 3 percent of the cases, the necessary documents | bonds. |
| | were not properly maintained in the concerned | Proper verification of documents and monitoring to avoid |
| | offices. | misuse of the scheme. |
| | In a few cases, it was found that people availed the | |

Table: 7Girl Child Schemes: Problems in Implementation and Suggestions for Improvement

17

| | penenus without producing a pirth certificate and did | |
|----------------------|--|---|
| | not attend the Anganwadi Centre. | |
| | Anganwadi workers demanded bribes from the | |
| | beneficiaries for registration. | |
| | Delays in issuing the insurance bonds to the | |
| | beneficiaries | |
| Ladli Lakshmi | Explaining the scheme to the people poses a | Measures may be initiated in order to avoid delay in the |
| Yojana (MP) | problem. | registration of beneficiaries. |
| | People are suspicious about the benefits promised. | PRIs should be involved in the identification of the beneficiaries. |
| | Lengthy process of the completion of departmental | Better IEC campaigns to create awareness about the scheme. |
| | formalities and collection of documents. | |
| | Incidences of corruption are reported at the time of | |
| | registration of the scheme. | |
| | Timely availability of NSC. | |
| | Delays in registration of the beneficiaries. | |
| Ladli Scheme (Delhi) | Delay in submitting the application and lack of | Need co-ordination between Education Department and ICDS |
| | essential documents (in school going and birth | for better implementation of the scheme. |
| | cases) prevented some from registering for the | To avoid delay in registration due to lack of documents, |
| | scheme. | procedures may be initiated to issue certificates regarding birth |
| | Lack of co-ordination between different | and school attendance in a hassle free manner. |
| | stakeholders like the Education Department and | |
| | NDMC. | |
| | Shortage of staff and infrastructure at district level | |
| | offices. | |
| | Officers of the Education Department felt that | |
| | promoting the scheme was not part of their | |
| | responsibility. | |
| Ladli Scheme | Deaths of the girls are not reported by the parents, | Proper monitoring of the program. |
| (Haryana) | with the result that the money deposited in the name | Increase the incentive |
| | | |

| | of beneficiary cannot be returned. | The income criteria may be introduced in order to target the |
|----------------------|--|---|
| | Since the state has limited resources, the central | program to the poor and needy. |
| | government should support the scheme. | |
| Balika Samridhi | Delay on the part of banks | PRIs and the urban local bodies should have a major role in the |
| Yojana | PRI functionaries do not co-operate with the | identification and enrolment of the beneficiaries. |
| | implementing agency. | Many state governments discontinued the program after the |
| | Implementation of the scheme is leading to | Centre stopped allotment of funds. |
| | overburdening the ICDS and Anganwadi workers. | The scheme can be taken up jointly by the Centre and State |
| | Need to increase the amount of post-birth grant from | governments. |
| | Rs. 500 to Rs. 2000 | |
| Balri Rakshak Yojana | Lack of publicity campaign, poor program | To attract more beneficiaries, the sterilization condition can be |
| | implementation, lack of sufficient attention and | relaxed. |
| | monitoring by the Health Department | Need for better publicity campaigns. |
| | The amount spent under the scheme is much less | A monitoring mechanism needs to be in place. |
| | than the money allotted by the state government | The application procedure needs to be simplified (instead of civil |
| | Changes in eligibility criteria needed | surgeon at the district level, the responsibility can be given to the |
| | Need to popularize the scheme | lower level officials like Taluk / Block Health Officer). |
| | Incentives should be enhanced | |
| | Very few beneficiaries so far | |
| | | |

| Mukhya Mantri | Anganwadi workers are not giving enough attention | Need for an increase in the allocation of funds. |
|----------------------|--|--|
| Kanya Suraksha | to register the right beneficiaries. | Complaints against Anganwadi workers and Block level officials |
| Yojana | More beneficiaries cannot be enrolled due to | need to be addressed. |
| | shortage of funds, | Incorporate an additional criterion that the girl should remain |
| | Amount eligible to the beneficiary should be | unmarried till 18 years. |
| | increased substantially. | Involve PRIs in program implementation |
| | Anganwadi workers ask the beneficiaries for money | |
| | to fill the application forms. | |
| | Many of the beneficiaries have no idea about the | |
| | scheme and they are solely dependent upon the | |
| | Anganwadi workers. | |
| | Block officials were collecting money to process the | |
| | application. | |
| | Periodic incentives may be more attractive rather | |
| | than giving one time financial benefit after a long | |
| | gap. | |
| | | |
| Mukhya Mantri | I he program is not demand-driven so far | More attractive financial assistance |
| Kanya Vivah Yojana | Local officials go in search of beneficiaries | Passing out of Standard 10 (matriculation) can be included as an |
| | according to the budgetary allocation. | eligibility condition to avail the benefit. |
| | There was considerable delay in receiving the | The delay in sanctioning the amount needs to be avoided. |
| | amount | |
| | Delay in opening an account in the bank | |
| | Bribe paid to the officials | |
| Kunwarbainu | No evaluation has been done to review the | Need to enhance the present income limit. |
| Mameru Scheme | performance of the scheme since 1995. | Evolve a monitoring procedure and guidelines. |
| | No monitoring mechanism in place. | |
| Indira Gandhi Balika | Lack of adequate publicity | Need to popularize the scheme through better publicity |
| Suraksha Yojana | No monitoring mechanism in place. | campaigns, proper monitoring and higher incentives. |
| | | |

| | | The eligibility criteria of having no male child at the time of |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | sterilization can be relaxed. |
| Mukhyamantri | Problems in getting age certificate | There should be a condition that the girl should have passed at |
| Kanyadan Yojana | There were instances where under-aged girls got least Standard 10 to avail the benefit. | least Standard 10 to avail the benefit. |
| | married | Thorough verification is required to avoid fake marriages and |
| | | child marriages. |
| | | |
| Girl Child Protection | Ineligible candidates are admitted into the scheme | Proper verification to be done before issuing the certificates |
| Scheme (GCPS) | Difficulties in getting documents | Evolve monitoring procedures |
| | No monitoring mechanism in place | |
| Source. Drenared by the | Source: Dranarad by the author based on discussions with program officials. NGOs and heneficiaries | 30c and heneficiariae |

Source: Prepared by the author based on discussions with program officials, NGOs and beneficiaries.

Girl Child Schemes and Family Planning

The acceptance of the terminal method of family planning is one of the eligibility criteria in many schemes. It also raises a larger question - why is family planning linked with girl child promotion schemes? It is possible that many poor families with strong son preference and who have only daughters (often more than two) are unlikely to be enrolled under the scheme. An appraisal of the Girl Child Protection Scheme in Tamil Nadu (Srinivasan and Bedi, 2009) observed that two conditions of the scheme- sterilization and 'no sons in the family'-need reconsideration as it may actually work against daughters, forcing families to choose between the schemes (daughters) or sons. If the basic philosophy of these schemes is to promote birth and survival of girl children particularly from poor families, why restrict the benefits to only one or two girls? In other words, in reality many of these schemes may attract those who do not have a strong son preference. Most of the schemes are mainly focused on poor households, whereas son preference and daughter elimination are widespread across different economic categories. The girl child promotion incentive schemes can potentially have far reaching implications and therefore financial constraints should not come in the way of their implementation. It may be appropriate to consider a proposal wherein both the Central and state governments jointly finance these schemes through improved targeting of beneficiaries and attractive incentives. It is true that the promise of cash transfers provided a sense of security and confidence among families to invest in girls.

Key findings

Study findings point to the need to simplify the eligibility criteria and conditionalities, and also the procedures of registration under each of these schemes. Though year after year substantial financial resources have been directed towards promoting these schemes, there is a lack of field level monitoring. In the absence of a proper grievance redressal mechanism the challenges often multiply. In some states, the lack of coordination across different sectors such as health, education and social welfare is adversely affecting the programme implementation. The implementing officers complain that they are not receiving the required support from other agencies, resulting in delays and difficulties. In some states, the lack of coordination between implementing departments and financial institutions (LIC, UTI, Banks, etc.) also led to delays in issuing bonds/certificates and dispersal of funds. In most of these schemes, the involvement of local *Panchayats* (PRIs), NGOs, and women's groups is rather limited. PRIs may be in a better position to identify the beneficiaries, monitor the progress of implementation and ensure timely transfer of funds. Some of the state officials highlighted the fact that in many cases, the guidelines for implementation are not clearly understood and the staff is not oriented towards different aspects of the schemes. In India, the government has greater familiarity in delivering physical goods and services to enhance well-being and has very little experiential learning on providing and monitoring income transfers closer to the point of impact (Prabhu, 2009). In that sense, the introduction of CCTs implies a formidable capacity development challenge.

Reflections and recommendations

These promotional schemes for girl child could potentially have far reaching positive implications in enhancing the value of a daughter within a family. Therefore financial constraints should not come in the way of implementation of such initiatives. The study discovered that the promise of cash transfers provided a sense of security and instilled a sense of confidence in these families to invest in their girls. Wherever benefits were availed, to a large extent families ensured birth registration, immunization, school enrolment and delayed age of marriage of their daughters. It may be appropriate to consider a proposal wherein both the centre and the state governments jointly finance these schemes through improved targeting and attractive incentives. Schemes aimed at improving the value of the girl child and addressing the decline in sex ratio may not meet these objectives in their entirety if they target BPL families alone. This could be attributed to the adverse sex ratios across different economic classes in the country. Majority have reflected on the need to simplify the schemes to further enhance its usefulness and thereby its reach too. For example, schemes such as Dhan Lakshmi and Bhagyalakshmi can be simplified for operational purposes by cutting down on the number of conditionalities attached with various levels of immunization and school attendance. With every conditionality, the beneficiaries have to fulfill the documentation formalities to provide the proof of fulfillment. Likewise, domicile certificate is mandatory for many schemes and poor migrant families are likely to be excluded from these schemes. Inflexibility in the timing of joining the scheme is also a major deterrent for availing benefits among the illiterate families. It was felt that enhancing the cash incentives,

simplifying the registration procedures and perhaps minimizing the number of conditionalities would make these schemes more attractive. The multiplicity of outcomes expected to be achieved by a single scheme, is likely to lead to a somewhat diffused focus in achieving the original objective behind the provision of incentives i.e. change in the perceived value of daughters in the eyes of the family.

Though CCTs offer governments the scope to positively discriminate in favour of girls, it is not clear how far CCTs have led to a change in parental preferences and attitudes towards their daughters. This desk review has helped to highlight the operational challenges in the implementation of such schemes. However, the effectiveness and impact of these initiatives towards ensuring desirability of daughters cannot be absolutely established. An impact evaluation and an analysis of beneficiary perspective would be undertaken in the second phase of the study. An in-depth analysis would also help in addressing certain unanswered questions on the perception of these families towards such schemes. Issues such as perceptions regarding, linkages between incentives and family planning, differential incentives for the first and the second daughter, marriage incentive and whether it helps to value delayed marriage or only offsets marriage costs needs to be explored. More importantly, such an analysis would help establish whether CCTs in the long run could lead to a significant change in the attitude towards daughters.

References

Briere, B.D.L. and Rawlings L.B. (2006). "Examining Conditional Cash Transfer Programmes: A Role for Increased Social Inclusion?", *Social Protection Discussion Paper*, No. 0603, Social Safety Net Primer Series, World Bank Institute, Washington DC.

Fajth, G. and Vinay C. (2010). "Conditional Cash Transfers: A Global Perspective", *MDG Insights*, Issue No.1, February.

Government of India (2007). *Girl Child in the Eleventh Five Year Plan (2007-2012)*, Working Group on Development of Children, Ministry of Women and Child Development, New Delhi.

Government of Karnataka (2010). *Ninth Round Sample Check on Selected Developmental Programmes- 2008-09*, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Bangalore.

International Food Policy Research Institute (2002). *Progresa: Breaking the Cycle of Poverty*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC.

Prabhu, Seeta (2009). *Conditional Cash Transfer Schemes for Alleviating Human Poverty: Relevance for India*, Discussion Paper No. 1, United Nations Development Program- India, New Delhi.

Sekher T.V. and Neelambar Hatti. eds. (2010). Unwanted Daughters: Gender Discrimination in Modern India, Rawat Publications, Jaipur.

Sharma, R, R. Goel and H. Gupta (2003). "Rajalakshmi- An Initiative for improving the status of Girl child in Rajasthan", *The Journal of family welfare*, 49(1), 66-72.

Son, H.H. (2008). *Conditional Cash Transfer Programmes: An Effective Tool for Poverty Alleviation?*, Economics and Research Department, ERD Policy Brief Series No. 51, Asian Development Bank, Manila.

Srinivasan, S. and Bedi A.S. (2009). "Girl Child Protection Scheme in Tamil Nadu: An Appraisal", *Economic and Political Weekly*, XLIV (48), 10-12.